

Re: Fw: Contract funds for Hanford groundwater inspection



Dave Bartus to: Matthew Vojik

Cc: baron.adam, boller.jack, CherylB Williams, Kenknight.Jeff

03/15/2012 11:56 AM

Please see the attached revisions. One of the both nice and frustrating aspects of Hanford is that everything connects to everything, so it is pretty easy, and defensible, to make the case that this work is both related to CERCLA and case development.

Dave



Hanford Federal Facility Groundwater Monitoring Evaluation - mjv 3-15-12.docx

Matthew Vojik Melanie's preliminary comments on the narrative... 03/15/2012 11:41:59 AM

From: Matthew Vojik/R10/USEPA/US

Dave Bartus/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, CherylB Williams/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, To:

baron.adam@epa.gov, boller.jack@epa.gov

Cc: Kenknight.Jeff@epa.gov 03/15/2012 11:41 AM Date:

Subject: Fw: Contract funds for Hanford groundwater inspection

Melanie's preliminary comments on the narrative are below. It sounds like FFEO will want to see more compliance/enforcement language. I made some guick edits in the attached document based on my limited understanding. Let me know of any comments/corrections.

Melanie also asked if this proposal could be linked to any Superfund activities (which would strengthen the case for FFEO funding). If there is a connection, let me know.

Thanks,

Matt

[attachment "Hanford Federal Facility Groundwater Monitoring Evaluation - mjv 3-15-12.docx" deleted by Dave Bartus/R10/USEPA/US1

Matt Vojik, P.E.

Lieutenant Commander, USPHS Office of Compliance and Enforcement U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, MS: OCE-184

Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206)553-0716

---- Forwarded by Matthew Vojik/R10/USEPA/US on 03/15/2012 11:23 AM -----

From: Melanie Garvev/DC/USEPA/US Matthew Vojik/R10/USEPA/US@EPA To:

03/15/2012 10:42 AM Date:

Re: Fw: Contract funds for Hanford groundwater inspection Subject:

Hi Matt-

Based on my quick review I do have a few concerns. On its face it is not entirely clear that this effort relates to compliance monitoring or enforcement. If the evaluation is part of a RCRA groundwater Compliance Monitoring Evaluation to determine whether the current groundwater system is in compliance with regulations, then that should be said. Does this activity support the on-going case development at Hanford, if so, that should be said. Right now this looks like a straight permitting effort, and I am not sure FFEO management would entirely support using our funds to do that.

Melanie Garvey
Environmental Protection Agency
Federal Facilities Enforcement Office (2261A)
1200 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20460
phone- 202-564-2579
fax-202-501-0644

-----Matthew Vojik/R10/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: garvey.melanie@epa.gov

From: Matthew Vojik/R10/USEPA/US

Date: 03/15/2012 01:27PM

Subject: Fw: Contract funds for Hanford groundwater inspection

This is the draft, I received. Let me know what you think. Thanks.

Matt Vojik, P.E.

Lieutenant Commander, USPHS
Office of Compliance and Enforcement
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, MS: OCE-184

Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206)553-0716

----- Forwarded by Matthew Vojik/R10/USEPA/US on 03/15/2012 10:24 AM -----

From: Dave Bartus/R10/USEPA/US

To: CherylB Williams/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: Matthew Vojik/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jack Boller/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Adam

Baron/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Kenknight.Jeff@epa.gov

Date: 03/13/2012 01:53 PM

Subject: Re: Contract funds for Hanford groundwater inspection

Cheryl was just slightly ahead of her time, but I've managed to catch up. Please see the attached document - comments/feedback from everyone welcome. Once we have a decision reprogramming, I/we can proceed with a more specific task order. Based on no more than "a finger in the breeze" analysis, I'm thinking of something like a level of effort of 80 hours/\$15k would give us a good chance at a solid work product.

Speaking to Amberet Green earlier today, she reminded me that our existing REPA contract expires at the end of June. If we do decide to move ahead with this project, we'll have to move quickly, but that's not at all a bad challenge, given the expected release by Ecology of the draft re-issue Hanford permit in early May.

Dave

(See attached file: Hanford Federal Facility Groundwater Monitoring Evaluation .docx)

[attachment "Hanford Federal Facility Groundwater Monitoring Evaluation .docx" removed by Melanie Garvey/DC/USEPA/US]